



IQAC

DEPARTMENT PERFORMANCE INDEX-ANITS (DPI-A) Modified w.e.f 2020-21

Name of the department: Information Technology

SNo	Critoria	Max. Marks	Marks Scored
1	Teaching - Learning Processes	300	210.58
2	Student's Performance	200	154.73
3	Faculty contributions	250	105.20
4	Co-curricular activities	100	66.05
5	Students support systems	85	72
6	Continuous improvement	40	40
7	Best Practices	25	25
	Total	1000	768. CG.

1. Impa Judity de polications with hippinged tocher

2. Improve considering and Research funding projects

I. EXHABLA indextry Supported last

4. To encourage cocarricolar achistra

5. Excolout Teaching and lower process

6. Encourage Faculty in participations FDP'S

(Taterrel Auchter)

Availability of ATR and Impact analysis / implementation on comments of previous NBA committee/ IA remarks: (Copy may be provided by the departments)

Teaching Learning Processes: (Max - 300) 1.

•	Teaching Learning Processes: (Max – 300)	Max	Marks	Remarks
S.No	Description	marks	awarded	100
	and lea	arning (95)	174
1				
.1.1	Availability of Academic Calendar of the department based on Institute's academic calendar and its effective based on Institute's academic calendar and its effective based on Institute's academic calendar and its effective	5	5	Offerbre
	based on Institute's academic calculate and adherence to schedule	3	9	C. T.
	compliance / implementation and adherence to schedule			
1.1.2	Chident Centric Inculous, Santa		1	1
(a)		5	5	Grod-
u		1		1,11
	problem-solving methodologies are used re- learning experiences (implementation -2, Impact			
	analysis -3)			
1.1.2		5	5	Gord
	Teachers use ICT enabled tools in the resources for effective teaching and learning process			
(b)	(usage -2, Impact -3)			
	Usage of MOODLE (2) Usage of MOODLE (2)	1	5	01
1.1.2	Usage of MOODLE (2) Check apart from Lecture notes availability of quizzes, Check apart from Lecture students usage, how useful	5	5	Gord
(c)	Check apart from Lecture notes availability of quibeyond curriculum contents, students usage, how useful beyond curriculum contents, students usage, how useful	1		
	for self learning (3)	-	+	More activities for brokes starkents to be included
	for self learning (3) Guidelines to identify weak and bright students(1M); Guidelines to identify weak and bright students(1M); impact observed	5	4	of the incholad
1.1.3	Guidelines to identify weak and bright statement post identification actions taken(2M); impact observed)	7	& Danesson
	post identification actions	+	+	No seminas hell
	and recorded(2M) Classrooms and seminar halls with ICT- enabled	5	4	No serve
1.1.4	Classrooms and seminal facilities such as smart class, LMS, etc.	 		1
	facilities such as smart order, experience with respect to	5	5	Gwel
1.1.5	facilities such as smart class, LMS, etc. Quality of laboratory experience with respect to			
(a)	Conducting, recording observations Laboratory Evaluation process: Usage of Rubrics for	10	10	Gord.
1.1.5	Laboratory Evaluation process. Coage	10		,
(b)	assessment least 2-3	5	5	Gorel
	assessment Faculty / Technician explanation in labs (at least 2-3)	3	5	Aurel
1.1.5	labe) CDC/ Student	+	5	Gord.
(c)	labs) Feedback collection and analysis (CRC/ Student	5	5	duct.
1.1.6	feedback)		5	Nice.
(a)	- token	5	5	Mice.
1.1.6		+	+	
(b)	Impact of action recorded	5	5	Nice
1.1.6		+	+	Analysis need to be effective. 5 (55/60)=4-58
(c)	Identification of curricular gaps (3M)	10	9	alla clare
1.1.7	Identification of currous 2-1	10	/	effect
	New courses introduced (4)			1 (1)
	Analysis and action taken (3M) Analysis and action taken of courses having focus on	,		5 (55/60)=4-58
1.1.8		t	4.58	,
	employability/ entreprenduction	5	4.30	'.
	offered by the department. No. of courses focus on such catogeries			
	No. of courses rectangle			No. of students and la
	Score = 5 * Total No. of courses Total No. of courses for imparting	,		No. of students can 1/2
1.1.9	Number of value-added courses for imparting	<u> </u>		
1.1.	tic 1:11a offered and students children	5	3	-
	transferable and life skills offered and state of the students of the skills offered and state of the students of the skills offered and state of the skills	<u>' </u>	1	

students count >25 3M Else 0M Structured feedback for design and review of syllabus (semester wise / year wise) is obtained from 1) Students, 2) Teachers, 3) Employers, 4) Alumin 5M Action taken and impact analysis 5M Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers, assignments and evaluation (30) 2.1 Process of internal semester question paper setting, scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee (2.2 Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) internal (5) + external (5) Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 2.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning/for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. (3.3 Quality of student projects (60) 3.3.1 Quide allocation and Projects identification strategies Methodology/Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance) (5M) assess individual and team performance) (5M) and projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as evolven contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution in which award has been won for dynality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 4. Industry related interaction (25) 4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for sudents 1.4.2 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for sudents 1.5. Fact					
Elise — OM		If 3>No.of Value added courses <=1 and enrolled			
Structured feedback for design and review of syllabus (semester wise / year wise) is obtained from 1) Students, 2) Teachers, 3) Employers, 4) Alumni — 5M Action taken and impact analysis — 5M 2.2 Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers, assignments and evaluation (30) 2.1 Process of internal semester question paper setting, scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee 1.2.2 Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) 1.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning/for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3. Quality of student projects (30) 1.3. Quality of student projects (and projects are well as collective contribution/understanding (Process individual contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance)(5M). 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as ervironment, safety, etchics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (SM) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published (quality of fourpetition in which award has been won for the projects (SCUScopus/UGC3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.2 Industry involvement in partial d		students count >25 3M			
semester wise / year wise) is obtained from 1) Students, 2) Teachers, 3) Employers, 4) Alumin – SM Action taken and impact analysis — 5M Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers, assignments and evaluation (30) 2.2.1 Process of internal semester question paper setting, scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee 1.2.2 Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) 1.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3.1 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.2 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.3 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.4 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.5 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as revironment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published (quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4. Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.2 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.5.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.6 Factors related to		Else 0M			
semester wise / year wise) is obtained from 1) Students, 2) Teachers, 3) Employers, 4) Alumin – SM Action taken and impact analysis — 5M Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers, assignments and evaluation (30) 2.2.1 Process of internal semester question paper setting, scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee 1.2.2 Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) 1.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3.1 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.2 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.3 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.4 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.5 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as revironment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published (quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4. Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.2 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.1 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.5.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.6 Factors related to	1.1.10	Structured feedback for design and review of syllabus (
2) Teachers, 3) Employers, 4) Alumni — 5M Action taken and impact analysis — 5M Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers, assignments and evaluation (30) 1.2.1 Process of internal semester question paper setting, scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee 1.2.2 Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) 1.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning/for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3.1 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.2 Quality of student projects identification strategies 1.3.3 Quality of student projects dentification strategies well as collective contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance) (5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non environment, safety, ethics		semester wise / year wise) is obtained from 1) Students,		10	Nuce
Action taken and impact analysis — 5M Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers, assignments and evaluation (30) Process of internal semester question paper setting, scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. Guide allocation and Projects identification strategies Guide allocation and Projects identification strategies individual contribution/understanding of the project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding (Process well as collective contribution/understanding (Process well as colle		2) Teachers, 3) Employers, 4) Alumni 5M	10		
Quality of end semester examination, internal semester question papers, assignment of evaluation (30) 2.2.1 Process of internal semester question paper setting, scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee 2.2.2 Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) 2.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 2.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning,(for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 2.3.1 Quality of student projects (30) 3.1.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology/Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance) (5M) 3.3.2 Projects classification (application, product, research, etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 4. Industry related interaction (25) 4.1 Industry involvement in the program design and courses for students 4.2 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 4.4 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 4.4 Influent analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 4.5 Rectors related to Outcome based education (100) 4.6 Rectors related to Outcome based education (100)		1			i and and
evaluation (30) 1.2.1 Process of internal semester question paper setting, scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee 1.2.2 Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) 1.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3.1 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.2 Quality of student projects identification strategies Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance) (5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.3.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship / summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5. Factors related to Outcome based education (100)	1.2	Quality of end semester examination, internal ser	nester q	uestion pa	pers, assignments and
Process of internal semester question paper setting, scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5)	1.2	quality of end semester cammaday			
scheme of evaluation and its compliance, existence of committee Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) 1.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3.1 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (SM), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance)(SM) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		Process of internal semester question paper setting.			
Committee Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) Apping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning. (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3.1 Quality of student projects (30) 3.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance (5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as revive etc.) consideration to factors such as revive etc.) consideration to factors such as revivenent, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published / Juulity of journal where the paper has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4.1 Industry related interaction (25) 4.4.1 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.2 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Rectors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Rectors related to Outcome based education (100)	1.2.1	Process of internal semester question paper by	5	5	Gord
Question paper validation to ensure desired standard from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5)		scheme of evaluation and its compliance, emissions			V ·
from outcome attainment perspective as Well as learning levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) Internal (5) + external (5) 1.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3.1 Quide allocation and Projects identification strategies 1.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance)(5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published / (quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.3.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		committee			
levels perspective (Quanty of Q papers) minumer (external (5) 1.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3 Quality of student projects identification strategies 1.3.1 Quide allocation and Projects identification strategies 1.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance)(5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published (quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4.1 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industry involvement training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.4.6 Industry involvement actions assed education (100) 1.5.1 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)	1.2.2	Question paper validation to ensure desired standard			1 2
levels perspective (Quanty of Q papers) minumer (external (5) 1.2.3 Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3 Quality of student projects identification strategies 1.3.1 Quide allocation and Projects identification strategies 1.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance)(5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published (quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4.1 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industry involvement training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.4.6 Industry involvement actions assed education (100) 1.5.1 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		from outcome attainment perspective as well as learning	10	10	Gord
external (5) Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes, Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with percentage wise weightage. 2.4. Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning/for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 3.3. Quality of student projects (30) 3.3.1 Guide allocation and Projects identification strategies 3.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance)(5M) 3.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 3.4. Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 4.1 Industry related interaction (25) 4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industry involvement training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		levels perspective (Quality of Q papers) internal (3)			
Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the acove with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.1 Guide allocation and Projects identification strategies 1.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology (Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance) (5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non – domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published / quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4.1 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment in the program partix for CO s and POs is the program of the project of training Assessment in the program partix for CO s and POs is the project of training Assessment in the program partix for CO s and POs is the project of training Assessment partix for CO s and POs is training Assessment partix for CO s and POs is training the projects of the projects of training the projects of the project training Assessment partix for CO s and POs is training the projects of the project of the project		external (5)			
Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the acove with percentage wise weightage. 1.2.4 Assignments / case studies / seminars to promote self-learning, (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.1 Guide allocation and Projects identification strategies 1.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology (Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance) (5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non – domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published / quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4.1 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment in the program partix for CO s and POs is the program of the project of training Assessment in the program partix for CO s and POs is the project of training Assessment in the program partix for CO s and POs is the project of training Assessment partix for CO s and POs is training Assessment partix for CO s and POs is training the projects of the projects of training the projects of the project training Assessment partix for CO s and POs is training the projects of the project of the project	1.2.3	Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes,	10	1.0	Corre
Percentage wise weightage.		Blooms taxonomy, and indicating the above with		10	7
Assignments / case studies / seminars to prointe schilearning (for coverage of non-domain POs and also higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. Quality of student projects (30) [3.1] Quality of student projects identification strategies [3.2] Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology (Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance) (5M) [3.3] Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) [3.4] Quality of journal where the paper has been published / Quality of journal where the paper has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) [3.4] Industry related interaction (25) [3.4] Industry involvement in the program design and curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) [3.4] Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students [3.4] Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof [3.4] Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof [3.5] Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment [3.6] Factors related to Outcome based education (100) [3.7] Industry involvement in matrix for CO s and POs [3.8] Industry involvement in matrix for CO s and POs [3.9] Industry involvement in matrix for CO s and POs [3.1] Industry involvement in matrix for CO s and POs		to an aviga weightage			
learning, (for coverage or non-domain 10s and the higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey of contents from multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.1 Guide allocation and Projects identification strategies Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding (Process well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance)(5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as renvironment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.3.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)	124	to describe the second studies of seminars to promote seminars to			
higher levels of Blooms taxonomy) survey. Industry related interaction (25) Industry related interaction (25) Industry related interaction (25) Industry supported laboratories Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students Impact analysis of industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students Impact analysis of industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment Interpretation and project on sassing mand program design and program specific outcomes in partial delivery of any regular courses for students Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment Interpretation of Adviculation matrix for CO s and POs Interpretation of students assignment evaluation and action and projects of Students and projects of Adviculation matrix for CO s and POs Interpretation of Students and post training Assessment Interpretation of Adviculation matrix for CO s and POs Interpretation of Students assignment evaluation and actions taken thereof Interpretation of Adviculation matrix for CO s and POs Interpretation of Students and post training Assessment Interpretation of Adviculation matrix for CO s and POs Interpretation of Students and post training Assessment Interpretation of Adviculation matrix for CO s and POs Interpretation of Adviculation matrix for CO s and POs Interpretation of Adviculation matrix for CO s and POs Interpretation of Adviculation matrix for CO s and POs Interpretation of Adviculation for CO s and POs Interpretation of Interpretation of Interpretation of Interpretation of Inter	1.2.4	. C coverage of non-command I of and also	5	5	Corre.
from multiple sources, assignment evaluation feedback to the students, mapping with the COs. 1.3.1 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance) (5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published (quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.3.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		1 - F Disame tovonomy i silivey di comente)		9,44,
feedback to the students, mapping with the cost. Quality of student projects (30)		com multiple sources, assignment evaluation and			
1.3.1 Quality of student projects (30) 1.3.1 Guide allocation and Projects identification strategies 1.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance) (5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		feedback to the students, mapping with the COs.			
1.3.1 Guide allocation and Projects Identification states 1.3.2 Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation system (5M), Usage of Rubrics for project assessment Methodology(Appropriately documented) to assess individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding (Process to assess individual and team performance)(5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		- w. c. 4domt projects (30)			0
individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding {Process to assess individual and team performance}(SM) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non – domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (SM) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published / quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Projects (SCI) Constitution matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts accounts accounts accounts accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts acco		Drojects identification suates	5	5	Gree 1
individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding {Process to assess individual and team performance}(SM) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non – domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (SM) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published / quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Projects (SCI) Constitution matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts accounts accounts accounts accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts acco					Dan Low Monrell
individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding {Process to assess individual and team performance}(SM) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non – domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (SM) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published / quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Projects (SCI) Constitution matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts accounts accounts accounts accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts acco	1.3.2				(See)
individual contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding {Process to assess individual and team performance}(SM) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non – domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (SM) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published / quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Projects (SCI) Constitution matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts accounts accounts accounts accounts a constitution of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs accounts acco			10	10	eleve.
well as collective contribution to to to assess individual and team performance}(5M) 1.3.3 Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non – domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Eventserities of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Eventserities of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Eventserities of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.2 Events are constructed as a construction of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Events are constructed as a construction of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.2 Events are constructed as a construction of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.2 Events are constructed as a construction of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.3 Events are constructed as a construction matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.5 Events are constructed as a construction of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.5 Events are constructed as a construction of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.5 Events are constructed as a construction of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs			10	"	
to assess individual and team perioritation. Projects classification (application, product, research, review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		individual contribution/understanding {Process			
Projects classification (application, provided as review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non - domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Engleration of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		well as collective contribution understanding (5M)			
Projects classification (application, provided as review etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non - domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Engleration of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		to assess individual and team performance) (and team performance) (a			1000
review etc.) consideration to the theory convironment, safety, ethics, cost, standards (non - domain factors) and mapping with program outcomes and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)	1.3.3	Projects classification (application, product,			I KI C
domain factors) and mapping with programs and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)			5	5	
domain factors) and mapping with programs and program specific outcomes. (5M) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100)		environment, safety, etnics, cost, standards cutcomes			
and program specific outcomes. (SM) 1.3.4 Quality of journal where the paper has been published /quality of competition in which award has been won for the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Industrial for CO s and POs and POs and POs and POs are specified by the paper has been published for the program has been published for the paper has been won for the paper has been won for the published for the paper has been won for th		demain factors) and mapping with programs		_	
the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Parallel Course in the program design and seed in the program des		and program specific outcomes. (5M)			Grewe more jubicety
the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Parallel Course in the program design and seed in the program des	1 3 4			_	: cocc - Core list '
the projects (SCI/Scopus/OGC-3, other 1) 1.4 Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.6.1 Exploresion of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Page 1.5 Company 1.5	1.5.4	i i - Competition III Willell award III	10	9	15 046 -
Industry related interaction (25) 1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Presentation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Presentation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Presentation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Presentation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Presentation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Presentation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs		the projects (SCI/Scopus/UGC-3, other-1)			- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs					6
1.4.1 Industry supported laboratories 1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program design and Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs	1.4	Industry related interaction (25)	5	3	Establish Industry Lynn
1.4.2 Industry involvement in the program of a state of Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.6.1 Exploration of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Contact of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs					1
Curriculum. (BoS-3, other-2) 1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial delivery of any regular courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.6.1 Exploration of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 1.5 Corp.		Industry involvement in the program design and	5	5	Gore
1.4.3 Industry involvement in partial derivery of any organisation and courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment	1.4.2				1 most and
courses for students 1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Presentation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 15 (15)		Curriculum. (Bos-5, clines = 7)	5	2	More invited here
1.4.4 Impact analysis of industry institute interaction and so weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Exploration of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 15 Cognition (100)	1.4.3				
actions taken thereof 1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Presentation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 15 (157)		courses for students	5	-	C.~l
1.4.5 Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two sweeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Presentation of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs sand POS	1.4.4	Impact analysis of industry institute	3	3	gra.
weeks and post training Assessment 1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (100) 1.5 Presenting of Articulation matrix for CO s and POs 15 (157)		actions taken thereof	-	5	
1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (190)	1.4.5	Industrial /internship /summer training of more than two	5		Juch.
1.5 Factors related to Outcome based education (190)		weeks and post training Assessment			
1.5.1 Explanation of Articulation matrix for CO's and CO's 15 15 407	1.5	Trackers related to Outcome based education (100)			Comb.
and also fixing proper attainment levels of 10,	1.5.1		15	15	4000

				3.1.2
	PSO.(Check faculty awareness)			1 2 1
1.5.2	Coverage and quality of all direct and indirect assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, assessment tools for POs and PSOs (Such as COs, as COS			Hore so plas receded for indusent tollo
	" at all coments higher education cic., for direct	15	13	for somet tolls
	and moderator allimni ciliployer, parette every	13		
	for indirect assessment). Reasonable sample size is			
				Adhere to the gundelines & Eine brienos
1.5.3	at the of CO / PO/PSO assessment in a time	20	10	Adlered to the
1.5.5	hound manner and action / measures taken and impart	20	10	timebaeroh
1.5.4	C Capity and singell awaterious	20	20	Correl
1.5.	(10) O and verification of lacuity and statement			مل ا
				Tolls with received justific
1.5.5		20	15	read to be mountained
	+ external examination Procedures followed {Based on the external examination procedures followed a question wise	20		
	+ external examination rroccures represent the assessment on performance of students question wise			Goe,
	in both cases} Action taken on non attainment of POs / Cos	10	16	1
1.5.6.				Ambience tibe maintaine.
1.6	Laboratory facilities (20) Maintenance and overall ambience (to check physically	5	3	Ambiente 112
1.6.1	Maintenance and overall ambients (working)	-	5	Gort
	whether the equipment is working) Safety initiatives in laboratories (incl Charts) Safety initiatives in laboratories (incl Charts)	5	-3	
1.6.2	Facilities (additional equipment/ softwares) created for	10	10	Gord.
1.6.3	improving the quality of learning.			
	improving the quality of realist			

2. Student Performance: (Max-200)

2.	Student Perjormances	Max	Marks awarded	Remarks
S.No	Description	marks	awarueu	
2.1.1	Success rate(90) a) Success rate of students who cleared programme without backlogs in any year of study including lateral entry=20×(Students graduated / Students admitted)	20	12-70	20 (108/170)=W70
	b) Improvement in success rate from previous years (1M for each percent increase)	5	5	7.4 improvement -13.79
2.1.2	a) Success rate in any year of study including lateral entry=15×(Students graduated / Students admitted)	15		15 (147/170)=12-9-
	b) Improvement in success rate from previous years (1M for each percent increase)	5	5	Improvement x - 9:2

2.1.3	Academic Performance in Third Year Academic Performance = 1.5 * API (Academic Performance Index) API = (3 rd Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10 point scale X number of successful students)/number of students appeared in the examination)	15	12.24	1-5 (8.58 × 158)/166 = 12.24;
	,			
2.1.4	Academic Performance in Second Year {Same as above formula in 2.1.3}	15		1.5 (7.87 × 168)/211 = 9.39 Newds improvement.
2.1.5	Academic Performance in First Year { Same as above formula in 2.1.3}	15	10.5	1.5 (8:31 × 140) /165 = 10:5 News improvement
2.2	Student enrollment (>=90% - 15M; >=80% - 10M; >=70% - 5M; otherwise - 0)	15	15	91.67%
2.3	Placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship: 50 X (students placed + admitted to higher studies + 3 X entrepreneurs) / (Total students)	50	42.1	50 (110+3+0)/134 =42-1
	Achievements in curricular, co-curricular and extra-	curricula	r activities (4	(5)
2.4.1	Paper, model presentation etc in International Level (IL), National Level * (NL), State Level (SL) Marks=2.5x (10 x SPIL + 5x SPNL + 2.5 x SPSL)/TNS SPIL=students participated in International Level SPNL=students participated in national Level SPSL=students participated in state Level TNS= Total number of students in 2 nd , 3 rd and 4 th year	25	14-27	Encourse stackents to perstacipate in more new of action tres
2.4.2	Certificate programs or courses like NPTEL/Course- era/Udemy etc. attended by students • Participation for 4 weeks: 3 M	20	15:56	-

 Participation for 4 to 8 weeks: 5M Participation more than 8 weeks: 10 M 	
Assessment = 20×Sum of points/0.5 x No. of	
students students	is treated as State level

^{*}National level (Paper, model presentation etc) conducted in-house is treated as State level

3 Faculty contributions: (Max-250)

3	Faculty co	niribulioi	13. (1/14.4-2	,50)				Lucan non
C No.		r	Description	1		Max marks	Marks awarded	Remarks on non compliance
S.No 3.1	Average percentage of full time teachers with Ph.D. Number of available PhDs in the department >=20% - 10M 20% <number available="" department="" in="" of="" phds="" the="">10% - 5M</number>			10	10	shiped		
3.2	department	>10% - 5M Suidance (7	20)					9
3.2.1	N. 1 67	Number of Teachers recognized as research guides			guides ch guides	5	2	_
3.2.2	M Phil / M.	Tech./(1M	1 / candidate	(e)		15	15	4 solles
3.2.3 3.3	Ph.D. (3 NE	roiects fun	ded by gov	ernment ar	ıd nongover	rnment ag	encies auru	ing the last five years (45)
	Major (More than 10 lakhs) 15M / project				25	_	Four on research project	
3.2.1	c: (E) (/ project)			7				
.2.2	D-tonto (10)	M/ natent)	(granted -1	10, filed -5)	1	10		
3.4	Revenue ge More than	enerated fr 2 Lakhs:	rom consui	mancy.		10	-	
	< 2 lakhs: 5	5 marks						
3.5	Publication	ns(75)						. 1
3.5.1	Number of books publis	ished during	ig the last if	ive years (5)		10	10	2 books.
	chapter) Only books	/Book char	oters with I	SBN/ ISSN	Numbers			Meterny messeres me
3.5.2	Publication Marks awar F = number P=(1xSCI+	in journals rded=50 X I er of faculty +0.6xWOS-	s: P/F /, +0.6xScopu			50	0.65	nleceny measures has for improving the number quality person.
3.5.3	number of p Bibliometric years based of Science of	publications ics of the pu i on average or PubMed.	ublications (e citation in	during the l	last five			
	Year	Total nu Citati Scopus	umber of ions in Web of Science	Total nu Publica Scopus	web of Science	15	10	Nauds improvement
	2020-21	57	2	1	1	1		
2.6			Pape	ers presente	d in semin	ars / conf	ferences(30)	
3.6.1	Marks = 30	- (1 5 v N	EDI TO SAN	TEDNI/TNI	7	30	10.38	George the fault

	seminars/conferences			
	NFPN=number of faculty presented in national seminars/conferences			
	Max: 30M			
2.5	Seminars / Conferences / Workshops / Symposia where	in served	as Resourc	e person(10)
3.7	Seminars / Conferences / Workshops / Symposia where	in serveu	MS ALEBOTIC	
3.7.1	Keynote Speaker / Chairman / Co-Chairman / Distinguished Guest / Key Speaker / Lead Discussant			- 0
	International: 4M/session (max 20); National:	10	10	Gord.
*	2M/session (max 10)			
2.0	Membership in editorial boards and number of	_		Ensure fally to port of
3.8	papers reviewed (2.5M / paper)	5	_	adipand Brasels
	Membership / executive positions in professional			121 - De de desor
3.9	bodies and their related activities (2.5M / activity)	5	5	wenned mensey.
2.10	Development activities (product development,			C
3.10	instructional materials, working models, charts,	5	_	- Freeze
	monogram etc.) 2.5M/activity			
2.11	Guest lectures delivered by faculty			flow accordingly
3.11	Industry / research institutes / universities	5	-] (0
	2.5M / lecture			
3.12	Teachers awarded national / international			F
3.12	1 eachers awarded national, international	_	_	Frus'
	fellowship and honors for advanced	5		
	studies/research during 2019-20 (only academic			
	bodies and Govt. Organizations) 2.5M/award			
3.13	Faculty Qualification			
-	FQ =1.5x $[(10X+6Y)/F)$] where X is the no. of			
	regular faculty with Ph.D., Y is the no. of regular	15	12.27	
1	faculty with M. Tech., F is no. of regular faculty	24		
	required to comply 1:25 Faculty Student ratio			
	including I.F.			
3.14	Fearly participation in online/ face-to-face			
0.2.	Fearly development/training activities/S1113			
	(Professional Development Programmes,			
	Orientation /Induction Programmes,			
	Defresher Course Short Term Course J.	20	20	_
	A Faculty scores maximum five points for	20	70	
	participation			
	Participation in 2 to 5 days FDP: 3 M			
	Participation>5 days FDP: 5M			
	Assessment = 3×Sum of points/0.5RF where			, i
	RF is required faculty as per 1:25 ratio			
	Ar is required taxarry are p			

4. Co-curricular activities:(Max-100)

S.No	Description	Max marks	Marks awarded	Remarks on non compliance
4.1	Expert faculty / industrial heads visits From Foreign universities / IITs, NITs /research organizations / companies (5M/ visit) From universities (4M / visit)	15	15	_
4.2	Number of workshops/seminars/ FDP / STTP conducted for faculty during the year.	15	13	_

	2 day - 2/3, 5 day- 3/5, two week - 5/10 (higher marks			
	for sponsored)			
4.3	Department Newsletter (1) / Magazine (2) / Website (2)	5	3	No myoque
4.4	Capacity development and skills enhancement activities are organised for improving students capability 1. Soft skills 2. Language and communication skills 3. Life skills (Yoga, physical fitness, health and hygiene) 4. Awareness of trends in technology Student clubs 15*(No. of students participated (head count)/Total number of students)	15	g·05	No myonul student perhaper tibe improved
4.5	Alumni association meetings	5	5	Gure!
4.6	Contributions from Alumni (Technical collaboration / employment providers / aid for infrastructure improvement / scholarships) (1/activity)	5	5	_
4.7	Number of extension and outreach Programs conducted in collaboration with industry, community and Non-Government Organizations through NSS/NCC, etc., year wise during the year students participating in extension activities also required 10*(No. of students participated (head count)/Total	10	t	Infine the news
4.8	number of students) Number of functional MoUs with institutions of national, international importance, other Institutions, industries, corporate houses etc. year wise during year (only functional MoUs with ongoing activities to be	5	3	conduct achine
4.9	considered) (1/Mou, 1/activity) Adjunct faculty(Minimum 30 Hrs engagement	10	-	Forus'
4.10	/semester)(10M/faculty) Number of awards/medals won by students for outstanding performance in sports/cultural activities at inter-university(2)/state(3)/national(5)/ international(10) level (award for a team event should be counted as one)	10	8	Gord.
4.11	during the last five years. Presence of an active Student Council & representation of students on academic & administrative hodies/committees of the department	5	5	Goral.

bodies/committees of the department

5. Student support systems: (Max-85)

S.No	Description	Max marks	Marks awarded	Remarks on non compliance
5.1	Mentoring system: Efficacy of the system (5M), impact analysis (10M)	15	15	Effetive.
5.2	Self learning (15)5		,	
5.3.1	Scope for self-learning	5	.5	Gare).
5.3.2	The facilities provided such as materials for learning beyond syllabus, Webinars, Podcast, MOOCs etc. and demonstrate its effective utilization	1 10	10	Gord.
5.3	Career Guidance, Training, Placement (15)			

5.3.1	Number of students participated by career counselling and guidance for competitive examinations offered by the Institution during	5	5	-
5.3.2	Number of students benefited by career counselling and guidance for competitive examinations offered by the Institution during the year.	5	5	Crensel He students
5.3.3	0-25% - 2, 26-50% -3, 51-75%-4, >76% -5 Number of students Appearing Vs qualifying in state/ national/ international level examinations (eg: IIT/JAM/ NET/ SLET/ GATE/ GMAT/CAT/GRE/ TOEFL/ Civil Services/ State government examinations, etc.)) during the year	5	2	
	0-25% - 2, 26-50% -3, 51-75%-4, >76% -5			
5.4	Entrepreneurship Cell (25)	5		
5.4.1	Subject offered related to Entrepreneurship	5	5	-
5.4.2	Entrepreneurship activities	5	5	_
5.4.3	Students benefitted	5	5	_
5.4.4	Paper published	5	_	Greene
5.4.4	Taper published			Plen
5.4.5	Innovative projects	5		1000
5.5	Grievance redressal system for the students and action taken	5	5	_
	D			
5.6 5.6.1	No of Volumes, titles, journals and magazines	5	5	-
5.6.2	available in the department library Usage of department library by teachers and students	5	5	_

6. Continuous Improvement (Max-40)

S.No	Description	Max marks	Marks awarded	Remarks
6.1	PO And PSO attainment {2M For Each 1%	8	8	Gare
6.2	Increase 2015-19 batch to 2016-20 batch Pass percentage 2M For Each 1% Increase	8	8	Gnd.
6.3	Intake: (Improvement in mean rank in open category- 5M) + (>95% seats filled -5M90-95% seats filled - 3M, and below 90% -0M)	8	8	Good
6.4	Student Placements, Higher Studies& Entrepreneurship {1M For Each 1% Increase}	8	8	Goz
6.5	Faculty Publications {1M For Each 1% Increase}	8	. 8	Grost

Innovative / Best practices and their impact (Max-25) 7.

S.No	Description	Max marks	Marks awarded	Remarks
7.1	Describe the best practices the department claims to have a niche for itself in the areas such as Teaching learning process, community engagement, co-curricular activities, evaluation, feedback system, Student participation in other activities, Alumni activities etc., (which makes	10	10	Gral.
	the dept unique)	1.5		C-(.
7.2	The impact of such activities	15	15	Greet

Format for Presentation of Best Practices (Criteria 7.1) (Department should submit the Best Practices in this format only as Annexure)

1. Title of the Practice

This title should capture the keywords that describe the practice.

2. Objectives of the Practice

What are the objectives / intended outcomes of this "best practice" and what are the underlying principles or concepts of this practice? (in about 20 words)

3. The Context

What were the contextual features or challenging issues that needed to be addressed in designing and implementing this practice? (in about 30 words)

Describe the best practice and its uniqueness in the context of India higher education. What were the constraints / limitations, if any, faced? (in about 50 words)

Provide evidence of success such as performance against targets and benchmarks, review/results. What do these results indicate? Describe in about 40 words.

(Grave Audra)



Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Sciences (Autonomous)

(Permanent Affiliation by Andhra University & Approved by AICTE Accredited by NBA (ECE, EEE, CSE, IT, Mech. Civil & Chemical) & NAAC)

Sangivalasa-531 162, Bheemunipatnam Mandal, Visakhapatnam District Phone: 08933-225083/84/87 Fax: 226395

Website: www.anits.edu.in

email: principal@anits.edu.in

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

Action Taken Report on IQAC Remarks (2021-2022)

1. Entrepreneurship: The main objective of entrepreneurship events for students is to inspire and empower the next generation of entrepreneurs by providing them with the necessary skills, knowledge, and resources to succeed in the world of business. In order to achieve the objective the department of Electronics and Communication Engineering (ECE) and ANITS-IIC organized a three days BUSINESS COMBAT (COGNITIVE VIRTUAL BRAIN-STORM) event during 21st, 22nd and 23rd of February, 2023 consisting of 4 rounds. Round 1(20.02.2023) 49 teams participated. Round-2(21.02.2023), Out of 46 teams, 30 teams were shortlisted. Round 3(22.02.2023), Out of 30 teams, 18 teams were shortlisted. Round 4(23.02.2023), Out of 18 teams, 3 teams were winners and cash prizes were awarded accordingly.

List of Participants: The following teams participated in the first round:

S. No.	Team Name	Team Leader
1,	Code Black	Senapati Sai Rahul
2,	Team E^X	Mohammed Zubair
3.	Smart Electronics Hub	Mohan Sandeep Nekkanti
4.	Triodes	I .Deepi Ka
5.	Passion Entrepreneurs	Shaik Babini
6.	Neoterics	A.Charishma Reddy
7.	Innovators	J.Lavanya
8.	Fantastic Four	Jyoteesh
9.	Team Avatars	B.Varun Kumar
10.	Josh Team	Darisi Asritha
11.	Mind Benders	Sha Mohammad Shabbir
12.	Anits Amplifiers	Chakrapani Putcha
13.	Brainiacs	Anies Faizi E
14.	The Admirals	Boyina Rashmitha
15.	Aviators	G. Siddhu
	The Achievers	Mal La.Sai Thanuj
17.	Business Warriors	Akula Divakar
18.	The Planet Wiser	Doppa Deepi Ka
19.	Business Preachers	N. Tarun Sa
20.	M^2	Monika. Pedapati
21.	Team Gravity	Medapureddi Uma Mahesh
22.	Finance Wizards	Usha Sri
23.	Team Trinetra	Venu Madhav Murapaka
24.		Jonnakuti Hemanth Kumar

25	Megaminds	N. Shankar
26	. Team Universe	P. Richa Santhi Chandra
27	Unlimited	N Gowthami
28	Team Infizar	K Sashank Kumar
29	Ksl	Kanithi Sai Lakshmi
30	Dream Team	Hima Bindu
31	Brainy Bunch	K.Leelakumari
32	Team Anids	Uppala Lakshmi Poornima
	Immortal	S.Samba Siva Reddy
34	Friends	Uppada Dharanesh
35	Team Inspire	G.Raaga Srinija
36	The Strikerz	Omkar Palika
37.	Team Redwine	R V S Sai Kiran
	Spartans	Neelam Parvathi
39.	Team Phoenix	V. Deekshitha
40.	Dream Crushers	P.Tejavardhanachari
41.	Future Billionaires	P.Akhila
42.	Ks*2 Team	P. Kusuma
43.	TeAMPOTTERS	P. Sai Teja
44,	The Deciders	B. Vamsi
45.	Eco-Cult	Monika Kusumanchi
46.	Smash	Y. Bhanu Prakash
47.	Agri Teja	Surya
_	One Hit-Wonders	R. Indrani
49.	Esperanza	K. Abhishek Yash Raj

2. Real Time Projects:

Tinkerer's lab is extensively used towards development of real time projects. The following are the list of projects done in the tinkerer's lab for the academic year 2022-2023

S.No	a dioject title	Project Guide name
1	Development of technologies for storage of Onions	Prof V Rajyalakshmi
2	Onion Oreservator	Prof V Rajyalakshmi
3	Border surveillance system and weapon activation using IoMT	Dr N Swathi
4	Monitoring and management of water quality, soil fertility(macro-nutrients)	Mr B Chandra Mouli
5	Automated pot irrigation and IoT based monitoringsystem	Mr B Chandra Mouli
6	Farm monitoring system	Mr N Srinivasa Naidu
7	Gesture vocaliser(Deaf and dumb hospital support system)	Mr N Srinivasa Naidu
8	A cost effective neutron counter platform	Dr G Prasanna
9	A smart wearable for clinical characterization of motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease	Dr G Prasanna
10	Implementation of integrated intelligent saline stand	Dr S Srinivas
11	3D printer using fused deposition modeling	Mrs G Gayathri

12	IoT based smart waste management system using Raspberry Pi4	Mr Nithin Kumar
13	Smart water surveillance system	Dr P Murugapandiyan
14	Automatic anesthesia controlling with nutrientssuggesting system	Mrs M Nirmala
15	Door lock security system using face detection andthermal screening	Mrs D Nagamani
16	Health monitoring and alerting smart wheelchair for physically disabled people	Mr N Ramkumar

3. International Conference:

The First international conference- AICTE sponsored Two-day International Conference on Advancement in Electronic Systems and Communication Technologies (ICAESCT 2022) was held at 4th & 5th of November, 2022 in Hybrid mode, Organized by the Department of Electronics & Communication Engineering, Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology and Sciences (A). This conference welcomes all scientists, engineers, technocrats and researchers from all walks of society to share their knowledge in the area of next- generation electronic systems and Communication Technologies. The conference will include plenary session and talks from eminent researcher on the state of art in the related areas. It is a great stride for the department in the direction of achieving excellence in the field of emerging technologies. Total 85 papers were received and 68 papers were accepted and presented. One paper was received from Bangladesh. The papers of the conference will be published in the form of conference proceedings with ISBN number. The conference provided a platform to the Scholars, professionals & engineer who have a propensity towards research, to explore innovative ideas related to Electronics & Communication Engineering.

Glimpse of Inauguration Sessions



4. Faculty R&D Activities:

The main aim of faculty research and development (R&D) activities in a department is

- To advance knowledge and contribute to the academic and research community through the generation of new ideas, discoveries, and innovations.
- To enhance the research skills and expertise of faculty members, promote interdisciplinary collaborations, and support the academic mission of the department and institution.
- To secure external funding, promote the department's reputation and visibility, and enhance the quality of teaching and learning through the integration of research into the curriculum.
- In order to achieve all this aims the faculty are actively participated/completed several workshops, FDP's, NPTEL/ online course certifications and also published several SCI, Scopus and UGC care research articles

	Workshops	FDP's	NPTEL/ online Course certification	Publications
2022-2022	06	36	16	Conferences-55
				SCI-2
				Scopus-2

Project Proposal Submissions by faculty for the academic year 2022-2023:

S. No	Name of The Faculty	Title of the Project	Details of the project major/Minor	Sanctioning Authority	Amount	Reference No.
1	Dr.P.Murgapandiyan (PI) & Dr.V.Rajya Lakshmi (Co-PI)	Design and Development of E- mode GaN-HEMTs for Electric Vehicles	State University Research Excellence (SERB- SURE)	DST-SERB	29,90,690	SUR/2022/001632
2	Dr.Murugapandiyan	DESIGN OF NANO- COMPOSITE BASED SENSOR FOR EOLOTROPIC DISTRIBUTIONS OF ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY	State University Research Excellence (SERB-SURE)	DST-SERB	29,30,000	SUR/2022/002048
3	Dr. A. Lakshmi Narayana (PI) & Dr.B.Somasekhar (Co-PI)	Design and Implementation of Hybrid PAPR Reduction method for FBMC using LABVIEW	State University Research Excellence (SERB- SURE)	DST-SERB	24,97,556	SUR/2022/004487
4	Dr. B. Somasekhar(PI) & Dr.A.Lakshmi Narayana (Co-PI)	DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF HYBRID SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND CHANNEL	State University Research Excellence (SERB- SURE)	DST-SERB	20,68,637	SUR/2022/004013

5	Dr. S. Srinivas(PI), K Gowreesrinivas (CO-PI),Prasad Kaviti(CO-PI)	ESTIMATION ALGORITHM IN MILLIMETER- WAVE MIMO SYSTEMS IMPLEMENTATION OF LOW COST CAD TOOL FOR 3D IC DESIGN USING SUB BLOCKS METHODOLOGY WITH REDUCED DESIGN TIME	State University Research Excellence (SERB- SURE)	DST-SERB	29,25,000	SUR/2022/001632
6	Dr. JANA BHASKARA RAO (PI) & Dr. K V G Srinivas (Co-PI)	FPGA based surface roughness detection system using image enhancement algorithms	State University Research Excellence (SERB- SURE)	DST-SERB	5,10,000	SUR/2022/003496
7	Dr. P. Murugapandiyan Associate Professor (PI)	Design and Development of E- mode GaN-HEMTs for reduced size and efficient power converters in Electric Vehicles	Core Research Grant (DST- SERB)	DST-SERB	25,77,564	CRG/2023/001262

5. Consultancy:

The objective of consultancy is to leverage the technical expertise and knowledge of faculty members and students to provide valuable services and solutions to external clients, while also promoting industry-academia collaborations and generating revenue for the department. In order to meet the objective the faculty of ECE has trained "Avantel Limited" industry people in various topics.

S.No		Month and Year	Nature of work	Industry	Total Amount (Rs)
1.	Mr.B.Chandra Mouli	16 th June 5 th August 2022	Conducted Training sessions for Avante employees on Communication Techniques"	Limited	10500
2.		16 th June 5 th August 2022	Conducted Training sessions for Avante employees on "Digita Communication Techniques"	Limited	7000
3.	Mrs.Ch.Anoosha	16 th June 5 th August 2022	Conducted Training sessions for Avante employees on "Digita Signal Processing"	Limited	13500

Total					
<i>J</i> .	wii. v . v . x , x aju	16 th June 5 th August 2022	Conducted Training sessions for Avantel employees on "Digital Signal Processing"	Avantel Limited	
	Dr.G.Prasanna Mr.V.V.K.Raju	16 th June 5 th August 2022	employees on "Random variables and Probability Theory"	Avantel Limited	

Dr.B. Jagadeesh Prof& HOD, ECE

Head of the Department
Department of E C E
Anil Neerukonda Institute of Technology & Sciences
Sangivalasa - 531 162